




Structural Safety Inspection

Factory Name	STERLING APPARELS LTD	Accord ID	9619
Factory Address	Beron, Earpur Union, Ashulia, Savar		
Inspection Date	12/03/2014		
Date of Review Inspection	18-Jan-18		
Inspected by	Md. Abu Zafar-Al-Mansur		






Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline (DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
1	Highly stressed columns.	All loads, including equipment, garments and personnel, in the area highlighted on Levels 1-7 to be removed pending verification of column capacity by the Building Engineer. Maintain Loads in all other areas	Immediate - Now	All Loads have already been removed from the Cantiever area. We are maintaining an intermediate load plan for the period of the remediation. Enrg. Miraz Raihan (In house Engineer) is closely supervising the fact so that the building might never be loaded over the allowed intermediate Load plan..	24/04/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: The factory management removed the load from the cantilever portion.</p> <p>On 2/5/16: Comments are same as previous follow-up inspection. In other areas loading was also found within 20 psf.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Corrected from previous follow up inspection report.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: This issue has been corrected in the previous follow up.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
2	Long spanning cantilever with signs of distress.	Reduce Cantilever loading & commence DEA as per item 1 above. Priority 2	Immediate - Now		30/08/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: The factory management removed the load from the cantilever portion.</p> <p>On 2/5/16: Comments are same as previous follow-up inspection. They have also completed DEA of the building which was accepted by ACCORD on 21st April, 2016.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: During inspection, loading on the cantilever found reduced. DEA of the factory building was accepted on 21st April, 2016.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: DEA has been accepted from ACCORD on 21st April, 2016. Loading was found below the allowable load limit.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection. During inspection, load was observed below allowable limit mention in accepted load plan.</p>	Corrected	
3	Vertical extension of canteen area on roof	Reduce loading as per item 1 requirements.	Immediate - Now		30/09/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: The factory management removed the load from the cantilever portion.</p> <p>On 2/5/16: Comments are same as previous follow-up inspection.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Corrected from previous follow up inspection report.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: DEA has been accepted from ACCORD on 21st April, 2016. Loading was found below the allowable load limit.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection. During inspection, load was observed below allowable limit mention in accepted load plan.</p>	Corrected	


Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/ YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
4	Localised areas of high loading	Reduce loading on areas highlighted for immediate action as per item 1 .	Immediate - Now	Highlighted area is completely free of any kind of loading and it is mentioned in the 1st inspection report.	15/04/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: The factory management removed the load from the cantilever portion.</p> <p>On 2/5/16: Comments are same as previous follow-up inspection.</p> <p>On 17/08/2016: Corrected from previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: DEA has been accepted from ACCORD on 21st April, 2016. Loading was found below the allowable load limit.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection. During inspection, load was observed below allowable limit mention in accepted load plan.</p>	Corrected	
5	Horizontal extension on east façade.	Reduce loading as per item 1 requirements.	Immediate - Now		30/10/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: The factory management removed the load from the cantilever portion.</p> <p>On 2/5/16: Comments are same as previous follow-up inspection.</p> <p>On 17/08/2016: Corrected from previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: DEA has been accepted from ACCORD on 21st April, 2016. Loading was found below the allowable load limit.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection. During inspection, load was observed below allowable limit mention in accepted load plan.</p>	Corrected	
6	Protection of critical element adjacent to vehicular access	Place temporary warning /protection (e.g. traffic cone, sand filled barrels as deemed appropriate by Building Engineer) at 1m from column faces.	Immediate - Now	we have already taken protection measures. Calculation of sufficiency of the protection structure will be given in the DEA	30/08/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: The factory management installed a temporary structure for the protection of the columns but did not show any documents suggested by the engineer.</p> <p>On 2/5/16: They have provided temporary warning/ protection by a brick wall and a warning sign was there.</p> <p>On 17/08/2016: Corrected from previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: This issue has been corrected from 2nd follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
7	Soil Report - Recommendation vs As- constructed	Detail Engineering Assessment to be completed as per item 1.	within 6-weeks	This item will be covered in the DEA	30/08/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord on 16 february-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. On verification the factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015</p> <p>On 2/5/16: This issue was covered in DEA. DEA was accepted by ACCORD on 21st April, 2016. During inspection, copy of soil report was available.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Comment is same as per previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: DEA has been accepted from ACCORD on 21st April 2016. Factory completed all the remedial works till date.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
8	Cracking to water tank support columns	Detail Engineering Assessment to be completed as per item 1.	within 6-weeks	we have already checked the cracked zone by removing plaster from that area and found that the cracks are only in the plaster. Frame structure was found completely intact. Pictorial evidences will be attached in the DEA	24/04/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord on 16 february-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. On verification the factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015</p> <p>On 2/5/16: According to factory management, they have investigated the cracks and found they were plaster cracks only and they plastered it. However, during inspection crack at some places were still visible and its inclusion in DEA could not be verified during inspection. Final decision regarding this issue will be taken after DEA verification.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Factory has completed DEA which was accepted by ACCORD on 21th April, 2016.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: DEA has been accepted from ACCORD on 21st April 2016. Factory completed all the remedial works till date.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
9	Lateral Stability of Single Storey Warehouse Building	Building Engineer to verify that building has an appropriate lateral stability system and submit relevant design documents	within 6-weeks	This item will be covered in the DEA	30/08/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord on 16 february-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. On verification the factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015</p> <p>On 2/5/16: This issue was covered and DEA and analysis report was available. Final decision regarding this issue will be taken after DEA verification.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: This issue was covered by DEA. DEA of the factory has been accepted by ACCORD.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: This issue has been corrected in the previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	
10	Long spanning cantilever with signs of distress.	Detail Engineering Assessment to be completed as per item 1.	6-weeks	This item will be covered in the DEA	30/08/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord on 16 february-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. On verification the factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015</p> <p>On 2/5/16: During inspection sign of distress was visible in the cantilever portion, specifically on the 7th floor. Inclusion of these issues could not be verified in the field during inspection. Final decision regarding this issue will be taken after DEA verification.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Factory has completed DEA which was accepted by ACCORD.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: DEA has been accepted from ACCORD on 21st April 2016. Factory completed all the remedial works till date.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
11	Long spanning cantilever with signs of distress.	Building Engineer to carry out design check on support structure to façade to confirm adequate strength and stiffness.	6-weeks	This item will be covered in the DEA.	30/08/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord on 16 february-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. On verification the factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015</p> <p>On 2/5/16: This issue was covered in DEA. DEA was accepted by ACCORD on 21st April, 2016. During inspection, analysis reports were available. Final decision regarding this issue will be taken after DEA verification.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: This issue was covered by DEA. DEA of the factory building was accepted by ACCORD. During inspection, sign of distress was found on each floor. on cantilever area. Factory was advised to take remedial action as per accepted DEA.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: DEA has been accepted from ACCORD on 21st April 2016. Factory completed all the remedial works till date.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	


Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
12	Long spanning cantilever with signs of distress.	Building Engineer to prepare Allowable Floor Loading Plans.	6-months	This item will be covered in the DEA	30/08/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord on 16 february-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. On verification the factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015</p> <p>On 2/5/16: Their consultant provided them temporary load plan before completion of retrofitting and they were posted on walls. After retrofitting load plans were also submitted. Both of them were accepted by ACCORD along with DEA on 21st April, 2016.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Load plan has been prepared along with DEA and accepted by ACCORD.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: This issue has been corrected in the previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
13	Long spanning cantilever with signs of distress.	Carry out strengthening as required.	6-months	<p>Already done.</p> <p>As per the ACCORD Approved DEA, it was advised to provide CFRP strips in the top of the slab in the cantilever area. We already completed this work.</p> <p>During the last followup audit, there were some cracks in the masonry walls. The auditor asked us to repair those masonry walls and the repair work is also completed.</p> <p>Pictorial evidence is attached.</p>	01/12/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord on 16 february-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. On verification the factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015</p> <p>On 2/5/16: According to the accepted DEA, retrofitting is required on several columns. After DEA verification, factory management will start the remedial works.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: This issue was covered by DEA. DEA of the factory building was accepted by ACCORD. During inspection, sign of distress was found on each floor. on cantilever area. Factory was advised to take remedial action as per accepted DEA.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: DEA has been accepted from ACCORD on 21st April 2016. Till date it is found all the required remedial works were completed.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	
14	Engineering Assessment of vertical extension for canteen on roof and horizontal extension on east face	Reduce loading as per item 1 requirements.	Immediate - Now		30/09/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: The factory management removed the load from the cantilever portion.</p> <p>On 2/5/16: Comments are same as previous follow-up inspection.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Factory has demolished the canteen on roof and reduced the loads.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: This issue has been corrected in the previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection. During inspection, load was observed below allowable limit mention in accepted load plan.</p>	Corrected	


Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
15	Engineering Assessment of vertical extension for canteen on roof and horizontal extension on east face	Detail Engineering Assessment to be completed as per item 1 to take account of additional areas.	6-weeks	<p>Already Done.</p> <p>We have already demolished both the vertical extension on roof and horizontal extension on the east face of the building.</p> <p>Necessary pictorial evidences are attached in order to depict the current situation.</p>	30/08/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord on 16 february-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. On verification the factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015</p> <p>On 2/5/16: They have not included neither the canteen nor the eastern side extension in the DEA as they will remove them in future. However, during inspection, we found the canteen is being demolished, but the eastern extension was still there. Final decision regarding this issue will be taken after DEA verification.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Factory has completed DEA and it was accepted by ACCORD. As per DEA, canteen on roof and horizontal extension was not included. During inspection, it was observed that factory has demolished canteen but the horizontal portion has not demolished yet.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: DEA has been accepted from ACCORD on 21st April 2016 with remedial works. During inspection, roof canteen and horizontal portion were found demolished.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	


Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
16	Engineering Assessment of vertical extension for canteen on roof and horizontal extension on east face	The Building Engineer should check the load plans and confirm that the main building structure is capable of safely supporting the additional structures, to ensure no over-loading.	6-weeks	<p>Already Done.</p> <p>We have already demolished both the vertical extension on roof and horizontal extension on the east face of the building.</p> <p>Necessary pictorial evidences are attached in order to depict the current situation.</p>	30/08/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord on 16 february-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. On verification the factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015</p> <p>On 2/5/16: They have not included neither the canteen nor the eastern side extension in the DEA as they will remove them in future. However, during inspection, we found the canteen is being demolished, but the eastern extension was still there. Final decision regarding this issue will be taken after DEA verification.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Factory has completed DEA and it was accepted by ACCORD. As per DEA, canteen on roof and horizontal extension was not included. During inspection, it was observed that factory has demolished canteen but the horizontal portion has not demolished yet.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: DEA has been accepted from ACCORD on 21st April 2016 with remedial works. During inspection, roof canteen and horizontal portion were found demolished.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
17	Engineering Assessment of vertical extension for canteen on roof and horizontal extension on east face	Engineer to submit design for revised structures if required.	6-months	<p>Already Done.</p> <p>We have already demolished both the vertical extension on roof and horizontal extension on the east face of the building.</p> <p>Necessary pictorial evidences are attached in order to depict the current situation.</p>	30/08/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord on 16 february-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. On verification the factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015</p> <p>On 2/5/16: They have not included neither the canteen nor the eastern side extension in the DEA as they will remove them in future. Final decision regarding this issue will be taken after DEA verification.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Factory has completed DEA and it was accepted by ACCORD. As per DEA, Canteen on roof and horizontal extension portion was not included.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: DEA has been accepted from ACCORD on 21st April 2016 with remedial works. During inspection, roof canteen and horizontal portion were found demolished.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
18	Engineering Assessment of vertical extension for canteen on roof and horizontal extension on east face	Building engineer to check, collect information and produce accurate and complete as-built documentation soonest.	6-months	as built drawings were already submitted to ACCORD	15/06/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord on 16 february-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. On verification the factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015</p> <p>On 2/5/16: They have prepared as-built drawings and submitted it along with DEA which was accepted by ACCORD. During inspection, as-built drawings were available . However, They have not included neither the canteen nor the eastern side extension in the DEA as they will remove them in future. Final decision regarding this issue will be taken after DEA verification.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Factory prepared As built drawing for present and proposed condition along with DEA which was accepted by ACCORD. During inspection, As-Built drawings was observed.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: This issue has been corrected in the previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	
19	Localised areas of high loading.	Reduce loading on areas highlighted for immediate action as per item 1.	Immediate - Now	All loads have been removed already	24/04/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: The factory management removed the load from the cantilever portion.</p> <p>On 2/5/16: Comments are same as previous follow-up inspection.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Corrected from previous follow up inspection report.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: This issue has been corrected in the previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection. During inspection, load was observed below allowable limit mention in accepted load plan.</p>	Corrected	


Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
20	Localised areas of high loading.	Create controlled loading plans for all floors, designating where storage can be placed and can not be placed taking findings of DEA and Item 1 findings into account.	6-weeks	We are maintaining Intermediate Load plan for the period of remediation. After getting approved DEA and completion of Retrofication, we will change the intermediate load plan with new final load plan and maintain that accordingly	24/04/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord on 16 february-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. On verification the factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015</p> <p>On 2/5/16: Their consultant provided them temporary load plan before completion of retrofitting and they were posted on walls. After retrofitting load plans were also submitted. Both of them were accepted by ACCORD along with DEA on 21st April, 2016.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Loading plan has been prepared along with DEA and it was accepted from ACCORD.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: During inspection, loading was observed below the final load plan.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
21	Localised areas of high loading.	Continue to implement load plan.	6-months	<p>Already Done.</p> <p>With the DEA, we submitted the load plan (after retrofitting) and that has been accepted by ACCORD. We have already completed the retrofitting work and thus we are maintaining that load plan properly.</p> <p>During the last followup- audit, the structural auditor found that the actual load in all areas were below the suggested level of load plan except one room in the mezzanine floor. we moved those storage from there just after the audit. now there is no over loading in any place of the factory.</p>	24/04/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord on 16 february-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. On verification the factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015</p> <p>On 2/5/16: Their consultant provided them temporary load plan before completion of retrofitting and they were posted on walls. After retrofitting load plans were also submitted. Both of them were accepted by ACCORD along with DEA on 21st April, 2016. During inspection, we found loading was within 20 psf on all floors. Factory management is required to maintain this loading until the completion of remedial works.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Loading plan has been prepared along with DEA and it was accepted from ACCORD. Factory has completed retrofitting of columns as per accepted DEA. During inspection, Loading was found satisfactory according to after retrofitting load plan except slab panel on mezzanine floor. Factory was advised to implement the load plan.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: Floor loading observed below the final load plan.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection. During inspection, load plan was posted in every floor and load was observed below allowable limit mention in accepted load plan.</p>	Corrected	


Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
22	Protection of critical element adjacent to vehicular access	Place temporary warning /protection (e.g. traffic cone, sand filled barrels as deemed appropriate by Building Engineer) at 1m from column faces.	Immediate - Now	Temporary warning is already placed	24/04/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: The factory management installed a temporary structure for the protection of the columns but did not show any documents suggested by the engineer.</p> <p>On 2/5/16: They have provided temporary warning/ protection by a brick wall and a warning sign was there.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Corrected from previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: This issue has been corrected from previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	
23	Protection of critical element adjacent to vehicular access	Building engineer to design appropriate impact protection for critical column adjacent to truck access zone.	6-weeks		30/08/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: The factory management installed a temporary structure for the protection of the columns but did not show any documents suggested by the engineer.</p> <p>On 2/5/16: They have provided temporary warning/ protection by a brick wall and a warning sign .</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Corrected from previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: Protection has been provided to avoid vehicle impact.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	
24	Protection of critical element adjacent to vehicular access	Continue to assess and maintain protection	6-months	protection is maintained	24/04/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: The factory management installed a temporary structure for the protection of the columns but did not show any documents suggested by the engineer.</p> <p>On 2/5/16: During inspection, we found they were maintaining the protection.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Corrected from previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: Protection has been provided to avoid vehicle impact.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	


Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
25	Assessment of design of foundations in comparison to recommendations of soils report	Detail Engineering Assessment to be completed as per item 1.	6-weeks	This item will be covered in the DEA	30/08/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord on 16 february-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. On verification the factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015</p> <p>On 2/5/16: Previous timeline: Committed timeline:</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Factory has completed DEA which was accepted by ACCORD on 21 - April - 2016.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: This issue has been corrected in the previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	
26	Assessment of design of foundations in comparison to recommendations of soils report	Building Engineer to review the Geotechnical (Soils) Report by comparison with the construction drawings and the use of pad foundations rather than piled /raft foundations as recommended within the Soils Report.	6-weeks	This item will be covered in the DEA	30/08/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord on 16 february-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. On verification the factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015</p> <p>On 2/5/16: This issue was covered in DEA. DEA was accepted by ACCORD on 21st April, 2016. During inspection, copy of soil report and foundation details were available. According to the reports, pad foundation is accepted.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Corrected from previous follow up inspection report.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: This issue has been corrected from the previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
27	Assessment of design of foundations in comparison to recommendations of soils report	Building Engineer to confirm by calculations and records of site construction that foundation bearing is adequate.	6-weeks	This item will be covered in the DEA	30/08/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord on 16 february-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. On verification the factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015</p> <p>On 2/5/16: According to the accepted DEA, foundations are safe in bearing, but less in shear. Pedestals were suggested to be built.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Corrected from previous follow up inspection report.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: DEA has been accepted from ACCORD on 21st April 2016 with remedial works. Till date it is found that all the remedial works were completed.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	
28	Assessment of design of foundations in comparison to recommendations of soils report	Implement actions arising from DEA.	6-months	We will do the retrofication work after getting the approved DEA.	30/12/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord on 16 february-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. On verification the factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015</p> <p>On 2/5/16: According to the accepted DEA, foundations are safe in bearing, but less in shear. Pedestals were suggested to be built. Factory management is required to take necessary remedial measures.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: DEA of the factory building has been accepted by ACCORD.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: DEA has been accepted from ACCORD on 21st April 2016 with remedial works. Till date it is found that all the remedial works were completed.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
29	Cracking observed to water tank support structure	Detail Engineering Assessment to be completed as per item 1.	6-weeks	This item will be covered in the DEA	30/08/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord office on 16 February-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. The factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015.</p> <p>On 2/5/16:</p> <p>On 17/08/2016: Factory has completed DEA which was accepted by ACCORD on 21th April, 2016.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: DEA has been accepted from ACCORD on 21st April 2016 with remedial works. Till date it is found that all the remedial works were completed.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	
30	Cracking observed to water tank support structure	Sections of plaster finish to walls to be removed to investigate if cracks penetrate the building structure.	6-weeks	we have already checked the cracked zone by removing plaster from that area and found that the cracks are only in the plaster. Frame structure was found completely intact. Pictorial evidences will be attached in the DEA	24/04/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord office on 16 February-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. The factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015.</p> <p>On 2/5/16: According to factory management, they have investigated the cracks and found they were plaster cracks only and they plastered it. However, during inspection crack at some places were still visible and its inclusion in DEA could not be verified during inspection. Final decision regarding this issue will be taken after DEA verification.</p> <p>On 17/08/2016: During inspection, Cracking was observed on water tank supporting columns. Factory has investigated the cracks and found those in plaster.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: Cracks were found repaired at the time of inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
31	Cracking observed to water tank support structure	Verify that beam/slab has sufficient capacity to support fully filled water tank.	6-weeks	This item will be covered in the DEA	30/08/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord office on 16 February-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. The factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015.</p> <p>On 2/5/16: This issue was covered in According to the accepted DEA, they are adequate.</p> <p>On 17/08/2016: This issue was covered by DEA. Factory was completed DEA which was accepted by ACCORD. Factory has completed retrofitting as per accepted DEA recommendations.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: Cracks were found repaired at the time of inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	
32	Cracking observed to water tank support structure	Limit water to appropriate level if required.	6-weeks	This item will be covered in the DEA	30/08/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord office on 16 February-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. The factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015.</p> <p>On 2/5/16: According to the accepted DEA, limiting water level in not required.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Corrected from previous follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: This issue has been corrected in the follow up inspection.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
33	Cracking observed to water tank support structure	Carry out repair or strengthening as required.	6-months	<p>Already done.</p> <p>In the last CAP verification audit, it was verified by the ACCORD Structural Engineer that the hair line cracks were only on the plaster and the main frame structure in fully intact.</p> <p>The DEA report also shown that the structure is capable of taking load of fully loaded water tank. thus According to DEA no major work is required to strengthen the water tank supporting columns as they are strong enough.</p> <p>however, as there were cracks in the old plaster, we have already removed the old plaster and provided new one.</p>	30/12/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord office on 16 February-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. The factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015.</p> <p>On 2/5/16: According to the accepted DEA they require some strengthening works in both beams and columns. They will start remedial procedures after DEA verification.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: DEA of the factory has been accepted by ACCORD. During inspection, Cracking was observed on water tank supporting columns and these cracks was in plaster. Some repairing was also observed. Factory was advised to repair the cracks as per accepted DEA recommendation.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: As per recommendation from DEA, factory has repaired cracks observed to water tank support structure.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
34	Lateral Stability of Single Storey Warehouse Building	Building Engineer to verify that building has an appropriate lateral stability system and submit relevant design documents	6-weeks	This item will be covered in the DEA	30/08/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord office on 16 February-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. The factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015.</p> <p>On 2/5/16: This issue was covered in DEA. DEA was accepted by ACCORD on 21st April, 2016. During inspection, analysis reports were available. Final decision regarding this issue will be taken after DEA verification.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: Factory has completed DEA which was accepted by ACCORD.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: DEA has been accepted from ACCORD on 21st April 2016 where this issue was covered.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	
35	Lateral Stability of Single Storey Warehouse Building	Carry out strengthening work if required.	6-months	<p>Already Done.</p> <p>During the last follow-up inspection, the auditor saw that the strengthening works were going on. We have already completed the strengthening work as per ACCORD approved DEA.</p> <p>Necessary pictorial evidences are attached for better understanding.</p>	30/12/2015	<p>On 23/4/15: This issue will be covered in DEA. The factory management submitted the DEA to Accord office on 16 February-2015.They were asked to resubmit the DEA with some corrections. The factory management said that they will re-submit the DEA within 5 May-2015.</p> <p>On 2/5/16: According to the accepted DEA, they require some remedial works. Factory management will start remedial procedures after DEA verification.</p> <p>ON 17/08/2016: DEA has been completed which was accepted by ACCORD. According to accepted DEA, strengthening work is required to the warehouse building. During inspection, it was observed that strengthening work was going on.</p> <p>On 18-Jul-2017: As per accepted DEA, factory completed remedial works till date.</p> <p>On 18-Jan-2018: Corrected from previous inspection.</p>	Corrected	

Item No	Accord Observation	Accord Recommendation	Accord Timeline	Final Action Plan	Final Timeline(DD/MM/YYYY)	Comments after Physical Inspection	Progress Status	Pictorial Evidence
36	New Findings: New RCC structure (Substation) beside Single Storey Shed.	Building Engineer to produce accurate and complete as built documentation.	(within 6 – weeks)	We have already sent the drawings for the New RCC structure (Substation) and accord accepted that drawing and confirmed us that the DEA verification is complete. Thus have completed all the work in structural part for Sterling Apparels Ltd. (Confirmation Mail of ACCORD is attached with this mail)	29/08/2017	On 18-Jul-2017: During inspection, one storey RCC structure was observed near shed. Factory Engineer is required to prepare the as-built drawing and submit to ACCORD within the given timeline. On 18-Jan-2018: As-built drawing has been produced and accepted from Accord. During inspection do discrepancy was observed between as-built drawing and as-built condition.	Corrected	